# Measuring denitrification and the N<sub>2</sub>:N<sub>2</sub>O emission ratio from agricultural systems

## Johannes Friedl \*<sup>1</sup>, Clemens Scheer<sup>2</sup>, David Rowlings<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Future Environments, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD 4000, Australia

<sup>2</sup> Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research, Atmospheric Environmental Research (IMK-IFU), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Kreuzeckbahnstrasse 19, 82467 Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany.

Corresponding author: johannes.friedl@qut.edu.au

Keywords: Denitrification; Dinitrogen; Nitrous oxide: N<sub>2</sub>:N<sub>2</sub>O partitioning; Nitrogen losses; stable Isotopes

## **Extended Abstract**

Denitrification is a significant pathway of reactive N-loss from terrestrial environments and has direct impacts on agricultural production and the environment. "Unfortunately, it is a miserable process to measure." [1]. Quantification of denitrification and its final products -  $N_2O$  and  $N_2$  - is hindered by the high spatial and temporal variability of these emissions, but foremost by the difficulties of measuring  $N_2$  emissions against a high atmospheric background. Several methods are available to tackle this challenge, including the acetylene inhibition technique (AIT), the Helium/O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere method and the <sup>15</sup>N gas flux method (<sup>15</sup>NGF). The evaluation of denitrification methods regarding analytical accuracy, applicability from the laboratory to the field scale, and instrumentation requirements is critical for (a) method choice in denitrification studies, (b) interpretation of denitrification data and (c) the use of denitrification datasets for biogeochemical models simulating the N cycle. Understanding methods for measuring denitrification is therefore important for a broad spectrum of researchers beyond a purely analytical perspective.

The AIT has been widely used but leads to an irreproducible underestimation of denitrification rates, a bias which continues when denitrification estimates based on biogeochemical models include AIT datasets [2]. This bias demands the use of alternative methods when quantifying denitrification losses from agro-ecosystems. The Helium/O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere method allows the direct quantification of N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O evolved from soil against an N<sub>2</sub> free or reduced atmosphere. This method is non-destructive, no isotopically labelled fertiliser or inhibitor needs to be applied, and method sensitivity for N<sub>2</sub> fluxes is higher compared to the <sup>15</sup>N gas flux method [1]. However, the extensive instrument requirements of the Helium/O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere method limit its use to laboratory studies. The <sup>15</sup>NGF is the only sound method to quantify both N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O applicable in laboratory and field studies. It requires homogenous <sup>15</sup>N soil labelling, usually achieved by applying costly <sup>15</sup>N fertiliser with water. The application of large amounts of <sup>15</sup>N fertiliser with water does not affect the applicability of the <sup>15</sup>NGF in







intensively managed agro-ecosystems but impedes the quantification of denitrification losses in natural ecosystems.

Table 1. Advantages and disadvantages of methods measuring denitrification losses ( $N_2$  and  $N_2O$ ) from agricultural soils.

| Method  | Advantage   | Disadvantage   |
|---|---|--|
| Acetylene Inhibition technique (AIT)  |   |  |
| • inhibits the reduction of $N_2O$ to $N_2$   | <ul> <li>allows a large number of<br/>samples to be processed at a<br/>time</li> </ul>  | Systematic underestimation of denitrification due to:  |
| <ul><li>indirect estimate of denitrification</li><li>applicable in laboratory and field studies</li></ul> | • simple to conduct   | <ul> <li>short supply of nitrifier NO<sub>3</sub>-</li> <li>incomplete inhibition due to restricted diffusion in water saturated or fine-textured soil</li> <li>the utilisation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> as a substrate</li> <li>Rapid decomposition of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> by microbes</li> <li>Contamination of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> with other gases</li> <li>Scavenging of NO</li> </ul> |
| Helium/O2 atmosphere method   |   |  |
| • quantifies N <sub>2</sub> emissions in an N <sub>2</sub> free or reduced atmosphere                     | • non-destructive   | limited to laboratory studies  |
| <ul> <li>direct quantification of N<sub>2</sub></li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>does not require the application<br/>of N fertiliser</li> <li>low detection limit allows</li> </ul>  | - laborious procedure to establish $N_2$ reduced atmosphere  |
| • applicable in laboratory studies only   | <ul> <li>quantification of denitrification<br/>rates in natural ecosystems</li> <li>incorporation of plants in<br/>incubation setups to simulate in<br/>field conditions and N plant<br/>uptake</li> </ul>        | <ul> <li>Detection limit depends on:</li> <li>the sensitivity of the GC</li> <li>the leak-tightness of the incubation vessel</li> <li>the density of the soil sample</li> </ul>  |
| <sup>15</sup> N gas flux method ( <sup>15</sup> NGF)  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>direct quantification of N2</li> <li>applicable in laboratory and field studies</li> </ul>       | <ul> <li>only sound method applicable in<br/>laboratory an field studies</li> <li>Use of <sup>15</sup>N fertiliser allows the<br/>combination with <sup>15</sup>N tracer and<br/>pool dilution methods</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>expensive equipment (IRMS)</li> <li>requires the application of expensive<br/><sup>15</sup>N enriched fertiliser</li> <li>high detection limit</li> </ul>   |

The <sup>15</sup>NGF uses a static chamber approach, and the detection limit depends on the headspace to area ratio and the closure time, but critically on the <sup>15</sup>N enrichment of the soil  $NO_3^-$  pool undergoing denitrification. In agro-ecosystems such as sugarcane, where fertiliser N is only applied at the beginning of the growing season, the application of <sup>15</sup>N fertiliser enables N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O measurements as long as <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> enrichment ensures detectable N<sub>2</sub> fluxes. The <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> enrichment decreases over time, leading to an increase of the method detection limit, which in consequence hinders N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O quantification over the entire growing season. Agroecosystems with repeated fertiliser N application such as intensively managed pastures are however ideal for the application of the <sup>15</sup>NGF, as the repeated application of <sup>15</sup>N fertiliser allows continuous detection of N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes.

Recent advances in denitrification methods target (a) the sensitivity of  $N_2$  detection (b) the temporal resolution of  $N_2$  measurements and (c) integrate soil gas diffusion into the







quantification of denitrification losses from agricultural soils.

The sensitivity of the <sup>15</sup>NGF for N<sub>2</sub> fluxes has been improved by reducing the N<sub>2</sub> background in incubation vessels [3]. This approach has been taken to the field scale, improving the method's sensitivity and therefore allowing N<sub>2</sub> detection outside peak emission events [4]. This improved version of the <sup>15</sup>NGF requires a rather complex setup to flush the chamber headspace with a Helium/O<sub>2</sub> mixture to reduce the N<sub>2</sub> background, increasing costs for equipment and its maintenance. Nevertheless, the "improved <sup>15</sup>NGF" could be applied in agro-ecosystems with a single N fertiliser application, accounting for low N<sub>2</sub> fluxes and extending N<sub>2</sub> measurement periods, and therefore improving estimates of denitrification for these agroecosystems.

The development of automated greenhouse gas monitoring systems has generated highfrequency  $N_2O$  datasets, accounting for highly episodic  $N_2O$  fluxes. In contrast, the sampling frequency for  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  in the field using the <sup>15</sup>NGF method is usually restricted to daily measurements. A newly developed, mobile isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Field-IRMS), coupled to an automated chamber system detects  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  fluxes in-situ, in real time and at a sub-daily resolution [5]. Instrument requirements, costs and the challenges of operating the system under harsh weather conditions may limit the broad applicability of this approach. However, the Field-IRMS represents a significant step forward regarding the temporal resolution of  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  flux measurements, and further improvements such as the combination with reduced  $N_2$  atmosphere [4] are promising to further the robustness of denitrification estimates from agricultural soils.

Soil gas diffusivity determines the influx of  $O_2$  into the soil matrix, as well as the efflux of  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  following denitrification. In contrast to water filled pore space, soil gas diffusivity integrates air filled porosity as a function of soil bulk density, allowing establish empirical relationships between soil biological and physical interactions across soils with different bulk densities. Recent studies have suggested diffusivity thresholds for the onset of denitrification [6] and the increased reduction of  $N_2O$  to  $N_2$  [7], and linked gas diffusivity to the temporal variation of  $N_2$  surface fluxes from agricultural soils [8].

However, the diffusion of gases also has implications from a method point of view: The efflux of gases from the soil matrix is defined by the diffusion gradient between soil and atmosphere, an equilibrium which is altered by the use of the static chamber method. This can lead to an underestimation of surface fluxes, increased storage of gases in the soil matrix and subsoil diffusion of gases. Recent research [9] has confirmed this effect for  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  fluxes measured with the <sup>15</sup>NGF, demonstrating an underestimation of surface fluxes by more than 30%. Further research is needed to establish gas diffusion modelling estimating subsoil diffusion and storage of gases, allowing to correct for the underestimation of surface fluxes in chamber based denitrification methods.

Advances in denitrification methods have delivered an increasing number of datasets investigating  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  emissions across different agro-ecosystems using sound methods. The integration of soil gas diffusion modelling is likely to progress our understanding of drivers on magnitude and  $N_2$ : $N_2O$  partitioning of denitrification, while ensuring the analytical accuracy of the measurements. New  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  detection methods, such as cavity-enhanced Raman multi-gas sensing [10] are still at the development stage but have the potential to overcome



Federal Ministry of Education and Research



limitations of available methods. The appraisal of advances regarding temporal resolution and accuracy of denitrification measurements suggests that a combination of methods with soil-gas diffusion modelling is the way forward to improve denitrification estimates from agricultural systems in the future.

Acknowledgment: Research presented in this presentation was funded from the Australian Government Department of Agriculture as part of its Rural R&D for Profit program, Queensland University of Technology and Dairy Australia. Furthermore, the authors would like to thank the Environmental Science Discipline at Queensland University of Technology, whose financial support made it possible for the author to participate in the workshop.

Accreditation: This paper was given at the workshop on Climate Change, Reactive Nitrogen, Food Security and Sustainable Agriculture which took place in Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany, on 15-16 April 2019, and which was sponsored by the OECD Co-operative Research Programme: Biological Resource Management for Sustainable Agricultural Systems.

#### OECD disclaimer

The opinions expressed and arguments employed in this paper are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the OECD or of the governments of its Member countries.

### References:

- 1. Groffman PM, Altabet MA, Böhlke JK, Butterbach-Bahl K, David MB, Firestone MK, Giblin AE, Kana TM, Nielsen LP, Voytek MA: Methods for measuring denitrification: diverse approaches to a difficult problem. *Ecological Applications* 2006, 16:2091-2122.
- Butterbach-Bahl K, Baggs EM, Dannenmann M, Kiese R, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S: Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: how well do we understand the processes and their controls? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological* Sciences 2013, 368.
- 3. Scheer C, Meier R, Brüggemann N, Grace PR, Dannenmann M: An improved <sup>15</sup>N tracer approach to study denitrification and nitrogen turnover in soil incubations. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 2016, **30**:2017-2026.
- 4. Well R, Burkart S, Giesemann A, Grosz B, Köster JR, Lewicka-Szczebak D: Improvement of the <sup>15</sup>N gas flux method for in situ measurement of soil denitrification and its product stoichiometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass* Spectrometry 2019, 33:437-448.
- 5. Scheer C, Warner D, Friedl J, Rowling D, Grace P: A novel, field based isotope ratio





mass spectrometer system to continuously measure  $N_2$  and  $N_2O$  emissions in fertilized cropping systems. In EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts: 2018:11946.

- 6. Chamindu Deepagoda TKK, Clough TJ, Thomas SM, Balaine N, Elberling B: Density Effects on Soil-Water Characteristics, Soil-Gas Diffusivity, and Emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> from a Re-packed Pasture Soil. 2019, 83:118-125.
- Balaine N, Clough TJ, Beare MH, Thomas SM, Meenken ED: Soil Gas Diffusivity Controls N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> Emissions and their Ratio. Soil Science Society of America Journal 2016, 80:529-540.
- Friedl J, Scheer C, Rowlings DW, Mumford MT, Grace PR: The nitrification inhibitor DMPP (3,4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate) reduces N<sub>2</sub> emissions from intensively managed pastures in subtropical Australia. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 2017, 108:55-64.
- Well R, Maier M, Lewicka-Szczebak D, Köster JR, Ruoss N: Underestimation of denitrification rates from field application of the <sup>15</sup>N gas flux method and its correction by gas diffusion modelling. *Biogeosciences* 2019, 16:2233-2246.
- 10. Keiner R, Herrmann M, Küsel K, Popp J, Frosch T: Rapid monitoring of intermediate states and mass balance of nitrogen during denitrification by means of cavity enhanced Raman multi-gas sensing. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 2015, 864:39-47.



Federal Ministry of Education and Research

